

Solid Waste Management Plan Review Committee Meeting March 5, 2019

In Attendance: Voting Members

Arlene Ridge Area C
Bob Cutts Area B
Diane Tammen City of Cbk

Joe Tress Dist of Sparwood Hal Anderson Ind. Advisor – SE Dis.

Darcy Edison Ind. Advisor – Waste Mngmt

Gaetane Carignan Community Energy Assoc., Vice-Chair

Karen Bergman Baynes Lake, Area B Nadine Rake City of Cbk/Community Grp

Cailey Chase City of Kimberley Vassa Stein Dist of Invermere Nikolaas Morissette City of Kimberley

Non-Voting Members

Zabrina Pendon City of Fernie
Mark Read Village of Radium
Duane Allen Dist of Elkford

Directors

Mike Sosnowski Director, Electoral Area A
Gerry Wilkie Director, Electoral Area G
Stan Doehle Director, Electoral Area B

Ange Qualizza Alternate Director, City of Fernie, Chair

STAFF

Shawn Tomlin Chief Administrative Officer
Kevin Paterson Environmental Services Manager
Jim Penson Solid Waste Superintendent
Loree Duczek Communications Manager

Lynne Newhouse Environmental Services Secretary

Regrets:

Jim Kennelly City of Cbk
Bill Swan Area F
Kate Bennett Area G

Heather Rennebohm Akisq'nuk First Nation

Welcome and Introductions

The Chair brought the meeting to order at 11:00 am. Kevin introduced the consultants leading the project Mairi Dalgleish and Mircea Cvaci, Civil Engineer and Vice-President of Sperling Hansen.

Review of Terms of Reference

All committee members were provided with updated Terms of Reference. Recommendation:

The RDEK Board be requested to amend the Terms of Reference for the Solid Waste Management Plan Review Committee to include the following additional sections: 5.2 (e), 8.1 (a) to (f) and 8.2 (a) to (d).

Director Sosnowski Moved Director Doehle Seconded

Minutes from January 23, 2019 Meeting

The minutes from the January 23, 2019 meeting were discussed.

Recommendation:

That the minutes of the Regional District of East Kootenay Solid Waste Management Plan Advisory Committee meeting dated January 23, 2019 be adopted as circulated

Duane Allen Moved Joe Tress Seconded

Results of the Community Survey (RDEK)

- Received over 3,200 responses, 45.3% rural and 54.7% urban
- Support for compositing
- More access to recycling opportunities
- Support to pay more to have more but being aware of those costs
- Need for continued education
- Illegal dumping was another key theme
- Protecting the Environment was also important. There is not any specific information about what is meant by this

Introductions

Members of the committee introduced themselves.

Action Items from Last Meeting (refer to the presentation for the graphs)

- 1. Cost for burying diverting and recycling waste
 These are included in the Stage 1 Report, Section 6 Finances and Section 7 Benchmarking
- Review of the Volume of Recycling Graph provided from 2011 to 2016 showing recycling volumes including shadow population
- 3. Review the return haul numbers used in the calculation
 Mistake in Elko return-haul distance, will be corrected in the final report
- 4. Central Subregion Rural Transfer Stations

Will be address as an "action item" in the SMWP

Average distance between transfer stations for neighbouring RD's has been discussed Also have discussed that RecyleBC depot locations aim to be within 30-45 minute drive for residents

Recycling numbers versus solid waste Included in the Stage 1 Report, Section 5 (Table 5-1)

Sperling Hansen reviewed where the committee is in the Solid Waste Management Plan Update planning process:

- ➤ Phase 2 Policies and Bylaws
- Next meeting will be on Finances
- Sperling Hansen will be putting all the information together
- Phase 3 Community and Stakeholder Consultation

Topics Discussed:

- Recycling where do our products go once collected
- The need to get the message out to the public about where our recycling goes

- Whether the information from our committee meetings is shared with the Board members, ie: Mayors.
- Composting initiatives and putting forward a proposal and are hoping to receive infrastructure funding
- The need for more education about the services that are provided
- Keeping costs low should be what drives the decisions that are made
- There will be more public engagement opportunities
- Having more user fees usually leads to more illegal dumping
- Review of the Solid Waste Management Plan gives the opportunity to review user fees and the impact they may have
- Costs will be brought forward to a public meeting which is where we will find out the services that can be provided
- Enforcement of illegal dumping
- After a more thorough review, the survey document will be shared with SWMP committee and publicly

Solid Waste Management Policies and Bylaws

Presentation from the Consultant:

- Goals of Survey
- Promotion

Sperling Hansen presented the goals of the meeting to include: (Refer to DRAFT AC Report Policies & Bylaws)

Regional and Municipal Bylaws

User Pay System

Disposal Bans

Illegal Dumping Prevention

Waste Stream Management Licensing

Extended Producer Responsibility

Zero Waste Initiatives

Limitations

Promotion and Education

General observations:

- The advisory committee continues to be concerned about implementation of user fees and the possible increase in illegal dumping they may cause
- The advisory committee is concerned about rumours around where recycling goes and the need to communicate to the public about this

Next meeting

April 2, 2019 (10 am to 2 pm) Financial Implications.

The meeting adjourned. 1:40 pm

Action Items	Person Responsible	Completed
Review of the responses from municipal and rural from the Survey		Completed
Communicate where our recycling goes	Loree	
Cost out a punch pass for disposal	Sperling Hansen	
Tipping fees review other Regional Districts and the outcome of having them	Sperling Hansen	
Review the trends in the survey.	Sperling Hansen	
Financial implication of savings in taxation if we implement user fees (Average of neighbouring Regional Districts with similar demographics.)	Sperling Hansen	